

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/569,519	02/23/2006	Roman Stauch	06-122	8617	
	7590 08/04/200 : LAPOINTE, P.C.	9	EXAMINER COMSTOCK, DAVID C		
900 CHAPEL					
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3733		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/04/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/569,519	STAUCH, ROMAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DAVID COMSTOCK	3733		

	DAVID COMSTOCK	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued to 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sest forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed on	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			10 133003 101
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finding reg	octor diamino.	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Eduardo C. Robert/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733	/David Comstock/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733	i	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner maintains the position set forth in the previous action regarding the embodiment comprising a polygonal cross-section as taught by Baumgart et al. alone, or alternatively in view of Taylor et al. The entire device is referred to as a medullary nail and it can comprise a polygonal cross-section, which would further secure against any rotation. That the pins would secure against rotation does not change the effect the polygonal cross-section would have on the device. Moreover, that the device of Taylor et al. is located parallel to bone does not in any way show his teachings would somehow not be applicable to Baumgart et al. and does not outweigh the clear motivation set forth in the rejection for combining the references.